

Management of Hip Subluxation Using Hip Bracing in Fukuyama Congenital Muscular Dystrophy

Jee Hyun Suh, MD, PhD Hojin Ju, MD, Ju Seok Ryu, MD, PhD

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea

Background and Objective

- ◆ FCMD: severe muscle weakness + CNS involvement
- ◆ High risk of **hip dislocation**
- ◆ Surgery is challenging (cardiopulmonary risk)
- ◆ Hip bracing effective in CP → **unknown in FCMD**
- ◆ **Aim:**
 - To evaluate whether hip bracing prevents hip displacement in FCMD

Methods

- ◆ **n = 6 (nonambulatory FCMD)**
- ◆ Inclusion Criteria
 - Confirmed diagnosis of FCMD based on biallelic pathogenic variants in FKTN
 - Age 1–15 years
 - Nonambulatory status
 - Reimer's migration percentage (MP) ≥ 10%
 - Provision of informed consent
- ◆ Exclusion Criteria
 - History of **hip surgery**
- ◆ **Groups**
 - Brace (n=3): 6 months
 - Control (n=3): historical
- ◆ **Device**
 - RS-Hip Brace (RSREHAB, Seongnam, South Korea)
 - Structure:
 - Inner pants layer
 - External fabric strap system
 - Previously reported to prevent **progression of coxa valga** in nonambulatory children with cerebral palsy



Figure 1. Hip brace used in this study: (a) anterior, (b) posterior, and (c) lateral views.

- ◆ **Outcomes (baseline → 6 months)**
 - MP (migration percentage)
 - HSA (head-shaft angle)
 - AI (acetabular index)

Results

- ◆ mean age of the six participants: 10.83 ± 2.32 years
- ◆ , each group: one male and two females

Table 1. Demographic data and radiographic data

	Hip brace group (n=3)	Control group (n=3)	p-value
Age	9.33±1.15	12.33±2.31	1.00
Sex (M:F)	1:2	1:2	
Pre_MP (MA)	60.32±17.05	84.87±23.24	0.40
Pre_MP (LA)	44.48±20.82	42.83±41.34	0.70
Pre_MP (average)	52.40±17.32	63.85±29.18	0.70
Pre_HSA (MA)	165.41±10.97	170.80±7.36	0.70
Pre_HSA (LA)	157.41±14.37	167.06±7.00	0.70
Pre_HSA (average)	161.41±12.66	168.93±7.18	0.70
Pre_AI (MA)	10.82±1.92	13.32±3.59	0.40
Pre_AI (LA)	19.71±1.36	18.99±6.60	1.00
Pre_AI (average)	15.26±1.15	16.15±5.07	0.70
Pre_PO	2.44±1.10	5.41±7.49	1.00
Post_MP (MA)	56.41±16.52	90.64±16.22	0.10
Post_MP (LA)	45.57±20.69	66.10±27.18	0.40
Post_MP (average)	50.99±8.93	78.37±13.31	1.00
Post_HSA (MA)	162.72±6.22	167.31±11.28	0.70
Post_HSA (LA)	156.07±4.05	167.3±6.77	0.10
Post_HSA (average)	159.39±4.36	167.31±9.01	0.40
Post_AI (MA)	15.04±1.20	11.54±5.58	0.70
Post_AI (LA)	21.78±4.35	21.05±6.43	1.00
Post_AI (average)	18.41±2.08	16.29±4.73	0.70
Post_PO	4.77±1.85	6.17±4.59	1.00

MA, more affected; LA, less affected; AI, acetabular index; F, female; HSA, femoral head-shaft angle; M, male; MP, Reimer's migration percentage; PO, pelvic obliquity.

◆ Brace Group

- MP: **52.4 → 51.0% (stabilized)**
- HSA: **161.4 → 159.4° (improved)**
- AI: minimal change

◆ Control Group

- MP: **63.9 → 78.4% (progression)**
- HSA / AI: no significant change

Table 2. Difference of Value of Hip X-ray

	Hip brace group (n=3)	Control group (n=3)	p-value
△MP (MA)	-3.91±26.68	5.77±7.17	0.70
△MP (LA)	1.09±27.48	23.27±36.77	0.40
△MP (average)	-1.41±25.67	14.52±21.74	0.40
△HSA (MA)	-2.69±16.94	-3.48±4.62	0.70
△HSA (LA)	-1.33±15.43	0.24±1.30	0.70
△HSA (average)	-2.01±16.11	-1.62±2.67	0.70
△AI (MA)	4.22±1.70	-1.78±8.76	0.70
△AI (LA)	2.07±3.55	2.05±8.23	1.00
△AI (average)	3.15±0.94	0.14±8.03	0.70
△PO	2.33±0.86	0.76±9.32	0.70

△ = (Value after 6 months of hip bracing) – (Baseline value)

MA, more affected; LA, less affected; AI, acetabular index; HSA, femoral head-shaft angle; MP, Reimer's migration percentage; PO, pelvic obliquity.

◆ Key Finding:

Brace → stabilization / Control → progression

Conclusion

- ◆ Hip bracing may slow or prevent hip displacement in FCMD
- ◆ Small sample size → further large-scale studies needed