Results of physical function after resistance training and nutritional support on osteosarcopenia in older, community-dwelling postmenopausal Korean females (ERTO-K study)

<u>Byung Chan Lee<sup>1</sup>, Yeonjae Lee<sup>1</sup>, Minjae Jeong<sup>1</sup>, Ye-Sung Jung<sup>2</sup>, </u> Kyung II Kim<sup>3</sup>, Juhyun Lee<sup>3</sup>, Kang Hee Cho<sup>2,3</sup>, Chang-Won Moon<sup>2,3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Chung-Ang University Hospital <sup>2</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Chungnam National University College of Medicine <sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical Institute, Chungnam National University

## Introduction

Osteosarcopenia is geriatric syndrome defined as the concomitant occurrence of osteopenia/osteoporosis, and sarcopenia. Osteosarcopenia is a relatively new concept in geriatric medicine. It may increase the risk of fragility several morbidities mortalities, fractures, and and socioeconomic costs. Although resistance exercises and nutritional support including protein, calcium, and vitamin D are potential nonpharmacological management, evidence is still lacking. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of combined resistance exercise and nutritional support on the quality and quantity of bone and muscle in postmenopausal females with osteosarcopenia.

Table 2. Changes	of SMI and BN	MD (Lumbar, Hi	p neck, Hip total)
$\mathcal{O}$			

Difference (0-6months)	SMI	P-value	Lumbar T-score	P-value	Hip Neck T-score	P-value	Hip Total T-score	P-value
Control	0.011	0.036	0.050	0.945	0.181	0.550	-0.100	0.112
Exercise	0.310		0.412		0.088		0.171	

 Table 3. Physical performance of participants

## Methods

This study was the prospective, randomized, single-blinded two-armed randomized controlled trial. Thirty-four postmenopausal female participants with osteosarcopenia was recruited and randomly divided into intervention (n=17) and control groups (n=17). Both groups received nutritional supplements (protein, 40 g; vitamin D, 1600 IU; calcium, 600 mg) daily. The intervention group undergo 12 weeks of resistance exercise of increasing intensity (Figure 1). Skeletal muscle index (SMI), bone mineral density (BMD), and various physical performance index were evaluated.

		0-month (SD)	1.5-month (SD)	3-month (SD)		F	P-value
Grip	Control	17.31 (2.82)	19.35 (3.28)	19.56 (3.07)	Time	45.25	< 0.001
Strength (Kg)	Exercise	17.62 (2.74)	21.35 (3.31)	22.04 (2.54)	Time*Group	4.46	0.016
DDD	Control	11.31 (0.87)	11.69 (0.70)	11.88 (0.50)	Time	8.83	< 0.001
SPPB	Exercise	10.75 (1.75)	11.75 (0.46)	12.00 (0.00)	Time*Group	0.66	0.522
5-time	Control	11.20 (2.45)	9.47 (2.16)	8.57 (1.99)	Time	21.51	< 0.001
chair raise (s)	Exercise	10.77 (3.20)	8.77 (1.95)	7.55 (1.23)	Time*Group	0.21	0.812
Gait	Control	1.07 (0.20)	1.19 (0.16)	1.25 (0.16)	Time	22.36	< 0.001
Velocity (m/s)	Exercise	1.06 (0.20)	1.23 (0.24)	1.31 (0.27)	Time*Group	0.74	0.481
BBS	Control	55.06 (1.65)	55.81 (0.40)	55.50 (1.21)	Time	1.02	0.365
	Exercise	55.65 (0.79)	55.29 (1.26)	55.70 (0.99)	Time*Group	4.66	0.013
					SDDR		

Grip Strength

SPAR



Comparison between exercise and control group \* P=0.034 after Bonferroni correction









Fig. 1. Circuit resistance training machines

# Results

Table 1. Basal demographics of participants

<b>Basal demographics</b>	Control Group (S.D.)	Exercise Group (S.D.)	P-value
Age (yrs)	76.82 (5.44)	77.00 (5.77)	0.943
Weight (kg)	46.56 (3.72)	47.13 (4.56)	0.762
Height (m)	1.50 (0.04)	1.52 (0.04)	0.364
$BMI (kg/m^2)$	20.69 (1.67)	20.46 (2.04)	0.785
FRAX_major (%)	12.52 (5.78)	9.80 (4.29)	0.257
FRAX_hip (%)	4.68 (3.24)	3.42 (2.07)	0.327
PBF (%)	32.14 (6.72)	30.62 (6.06)	0.607
$SMI (kg/m^2)$	5.09 (0.40)	5.30 (0.21)	0.172
WB-PA (degree)	4.26 (0.89)	4.56 (0.55)	0.171
Lumbar T-score	-1.98 (0.80)	-1.44 (1.20)	0.247
Hip neck T-score	-2.23 (0.75)	-1.94 (0.79)	0.421
Hip Total T-score	-1.70 (0.82)	-1.22 (0.58)	0.157
Albumin (g/dl)	4.03 (0.21)	4.14 (0.21)	0.228
Pre-albumin (mg/dl)	24.61 (3.91)	25.38 (3.52)	0.653
Calcium (mg/dl)	9.08 (0.38)	9.12 (0.23)	0.782
PTH (pg/mL)	33.96 (11.05)	32.33 (11.76)	0.753
25-OH-Vit D (ng/ml)	41.75 (17.48)	45.23 (18.30)	0.669

### Table 4. Muscle quality index of participants

MQI	0-month (SD)	1.5-month (SD)	3-month (SD)		F	P-value
Control	61.11 (21.11)	73.91 (18.67)	81.53 (20.51)	Time	12.20	<0.001
Exercise	66.66 (18.93)	77.70 (18.42)	86.01 (19.00)	Time*Group	0.31	0.738

MQI = ((leg length - 0.4) × body mass × gravity × 10) / time of sit-to-stand.



#### Muscle Quality Index

• Summary of the results

physical performance were improved after the All intervention in both the exercise and control groups.

<u>Grip strength measured at 12 weeks was statistically higher</u> *in the exercise group* than in the control group.

Muscle quality index was also improved in both groups, but the difference between groups was not statistically significant.

## Conclusions

Nutritional support alone could improve the physical functions in patients with osteosarcopenia. However, adding resistance exercise could have a greater impact on improving grip strength compared to nutritional support alone. CNU HOSPITAL

This research was supported by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HR22C1734).