



What Make Discrepancies between Initial Goal and Real Outcome in **Neurorehabilitation?**

Seyoung Shin¹, Heegoo Kim¹, Hongseok Baek¹, EunYoung Park¹, Jeong Min Hong¹, Jong Moon Kim¹, and MinYoung Kim¹

¹Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seongnam, Republic of Korea

Background and Purpose

Accurate goal-setting is essential for effective neurorehabilitation of patients. However, initial goals set by therapists sometimes over- or under-estimate actual outcomes.

Distribution of Goal Attainment Scale scores according to disease type



This study aims to identify factors contributing to discrepancies between initial goals and actual outcomes in neurorehabilitation using the Goal Attainment Scale (GAS).

Methods

Study Design and Participants

- Retrospective data from 566 patients admitted to rehabilitation department of Bundang CHA hospital in Korea from January 2017 to December 2022 were analyzed.
- Inclusion criteria: (i) ischemic stroke (IS), hemorrhagic stroke (HS), and brain tumor undergone resection surgery with a corresponding lesion on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging/angiography; (ii) age ≥ 19 years at the onset of brain lesion; and (iii) existence of GAS scores at discharge
- Exclusion criteria: i) diffuse encephalopathy (ii) hydrocephalus
- Finally, 325 participants who were diagnosed with IS (N=126), HS (N=132), or brain tumors (N=67) with complete GAS for PT or GAS for OT scores at discharge were included

Goal Attainment Scaling Rating



The distribution of both GAS for PT (p=0.079, Figure A) and GAS for OT domains (p=0.112, Figure B) scores showed no differences between patient groups of different diagnoses.

Plot for adjusted odds ratios of the goal attainment groups in PT



A. OR to make over estimate





Much less than expected Outcome – ranging from regression to no or minor -2 changes

Description

- Somewhat less than expected outcome somewhat less than expected for -1 the intervention period
- Projected performance expected This level of performance indicates perfo 0 rmance to the extent anticipated at the initiation of treatment
- Somewhat more than expected outcome indicates somewhat more progre +1 ss than expected during the intervention period
- Much more than expected outcome significantly more progress than expe +2 cted occurred during the measurement period
- Physical therapy GAS reference
 - > Medical Research Council (MRC), Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Functional Ambulation Classification (FAC)
- Occupational therapy GAS reference
 - > Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA), Mini-mental Status Examination (MMSE), modified Barthel Index (MBI)

Results

Participants characteristics according to the GAS in physical therapy

Overestimated Accurate estimate Underestimated p-value (N=217) (N=51) (N=57)

Physical therapists tend to make accurate estimations in male patients or patients with lower cognitive status at baseline.

Plot for adjusted odds ratios of the goal attainment groups in PT







• Occupational therapists tended to make more accurate estimations in patients with IS than in those with brain tumors and lower ADL levels.

Age (years)	59.00	66.00	61.00	0.02
	[50.00;70.00]	[56.00;74.00]	[52.00;69.00]	
Sex				0.067
F	108 (49.77%)	19 (37.25%)	34 (59.65%)	
Μ	109 (50.23%)	32 (62.75%)	23 (40.35%)	
Diagnosis				0.02
Ischemic stroke	86 (39.63%)	26 (50.98%)	14 (24.56%)	
Hemorrhagic stroke	92 (42.40%)	16 (31.37%)	24 (42.11%)	
Tumor	39 (17.97%)	9 (17.65%)	19 (33.33%)	
HTN, yes	122 (56.22%)	28 (54.90%)	33 (57.89%)	0.951
DM, yes	42 (19.35%)	11 (21.57%)	10 (17.54%)	0.87
Hyperlipidemia, yes	22 (10.14%)	4 (7.84%)	9 (15.79%)	0.361
Atrial fibrillation, yes	18 (8.29%)	5 (9.80%)	2 (3.51%)	0.399
Recurrence, yes	39 (17.97%)	9 (17.65%)	14 (24.56%)	0.509
Onset to T0 (days)	27.00	37.00	82.00	<0.001
	[17.00;53.00]	[23.00;80.00]	[37.00;129.00]	
T0 to T1 (days)	35.00	34.00	35.00	0.327
	[31.00;39.00]	[31.00;40.50]	[32.00;44.00]	

Conclusion

- Several factors including baseline functional level and diagnosis were found to affect goals in PT and OT domain. The therapist's career and experience did not significantly affect this prediction.
- Setting more accurate anticipated goals requires a thorough understanding of the patient from various perspectives and modifying the goal based on continuous progress monitoring. Moreover, the GAS can help therapists through the self-assessment process.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by a grant from the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI) funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: RS-2023-00262005) and an IITP grant from the Ministry of Science and ICT (2021-0-00742 Development of Core Technology for Whole-body Medical Twin).