Robot-Assisted Gait Training in Parkinsonism : A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study

Byeong-Hun Oh, M.D.¹, Gi-Wook Kim, M.D., Ph.D. ^{3,2}, Sung-Hee Park, M.D., Ph.D. ^{3,2}, Myoung-Hwan Ko, M.D., Ph.D. ^{3,2}, Jeong-Hwan Seo, M.D., Ph.D. ^{3,2}, Da-Sol Kim, M.D., Ph.D. ^{3,2}

^a Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Jeonbuk National University Medial School, Jeonju, Korea ^a Research Institute of Clinical Medicine of Jeonbuk National University, Biomedical Research Institute of Jeonbuk National University Hospital, Korea

Introduction

- · Patients with Parkinsonism has a common neurologic disease resulting in a progressive, degenerative disease manifested by motor and nonmotor symptoms. Robot-assisted gait training (RAGT) is believed to offer promising benefits; however, there is still limited research regarding the optimal treatment approach.
- This includes aspects such as specifying targeted treatment objectives, categorizing types of robots, the methodologies employed by these robots, and potential synergistic effects when combined with additional treatments options.
- The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of RAGT, using the end-effector type gait robotics "Morning Walk®", on improving motor and non-motor symptoms in patients with Parkinsonism.

Method

- Design : Prospective, single-center, randomized controlled trial
- Participants : 20 patients with Parkinsonism randomly allocated to two groups: the RAGT (n=9)
- and the conventional physical therapy (CPT) group (n=11). Intervention : Both the RAGT and control groups underwent a total of 18 sessions (30 minutes/day, 3 days/week for 6 weeks).
- The former was treated with the end-effector type gait robotics "Morning Walk®,"
- while the latter received therapeutic exercises and/or manual therapy
- Outcomes : The primary outcomes were the 2-minute walk test (2MWT) and 10-meter walk test (10MWT). The secondary outcomes of motor symptom were Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Timed Up and Go Test (TUG), New Freezing of gait questionnaire (FOG-Q), the Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) part II and III. Non-motor symptom was assessed by MDS-UPDRS part I and Non-Motor Symptoms Assessment Scale (NMSS). These measures were evaluated at two different time points; E1 (pretreatment) and E2 (posttreatment).

Results

Table 1.	V1	V2	V1-V2	Intra Pvalue	Inter <i>p</i> value
2MWT					
Control group	85.63±37.96	93.88±35.76	-8.25±7.69	0.01980	0.4223)
Intervention group	100.50±46.90	114.50±40.09	-14.00 ± 12.80	0.007 ^{e)}	
10MWT					
Control group	40.06±58.87	47.59± 84.56	-7.52±30.20	0.4761)	Q 111 ⁴³
Intervention group	14.00±8.50	10.81 ± 4.90	3.20±4.33	Q 0051)	
Primary outcomes in intervent	ion group and control group	pre and post treatment.			

Table 2.	V1	V2	V1-V2	Intra <i>P</i> value	Inter <i>p</i> value		
BBS							
Control group	33.56 ± 15.40	35.22±17.34	-1.67±4.90	0.299 ¹⁰			
Intervention grou	p 34.18±17.19	37.09±17.25	-2.91 ± 2.59	0.0042	0.907*		
TUG							
Control group	35.12±59.82	20.83 ± 24.01	14.30 ± 35.90	0.2970	0.3263		
Intervention grou	p 16.49±9.09	13.70±5.85	279±3.72	0.042*			
FOG-Q							
Control group	7.78±2.54	8.00±3.39	-0.22±1.48	0.665%	Q 888°0		
Intervention grou	p 7.91±6.02	7.36±4.92	0.55±1.51	0.258*)			
MDS UPDRS-part2							
Control group	14.44±5.79	14.56±6.35	-0.11 ± 1.83	0.860*	0.0894		
Intervention grou	p 13.27±9.5	11.82±8.29	1.45±1.69				
MDS UPDRS-part3							
Control group	38.00±16.89	35.89±17.79	2.11 ± 5.99	0.321**	C 2034		
Intervention grou	p 34.00±30.45	32.13±27.29	3.55±6.27	0.036")			
Secondary outcomes in intervention group and control group pre and post treatment.							

Table 3.	√1	V2	V1-V2	Intra <i>P</i> value	Inter <i>p</i> value
MDS UPDRS-part	1				
Control group	12.78±4.82	11.33±4.15	1.44±1.81	0.04420	0.923%
Intervention gro	up 13.45±7.65	12.09±7.45	1.36±1.86	0.035%	
NMSS					
Control group	43.56±32.92	37.78±27.84	5.78±8.03	0.063®	
Intervention gro	up 80.64±111.02	67.91 ± 91.51	12.73±21.85	Q Q28 ¹²	a 790°

Non-motor symptoms outcomes in intervention group and control group pre and post treatment.

All values are given as means ± SD. V1, pretreatment; V2, 6weeks after treatment 1) Wilcoxon signed rank test 2) Paired t-test 3) Independent t-test 4) Mann-Whitney U test

Conclusion

· RAGT with the end-effector type gait robotics "Morning Walk®" can be one of the effective treatments for Parkinsonism in improving both motor and non-motor symptoms



